## INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE:	St Paul District	
FILE NUMBER:	<u>05-673-DJP</u>	
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Dale J. Pfeiffle	Date: February 11, 2005
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLET	ED: In the office <u>Y</u> (Y/N) At the project site(Y.	Date: <u>February 11, 2005</u> /N) Date:
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:		
State:	Wisco	onsin_
County:	_Rac	ine
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longit Approximate size of site/property (including t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	29260184N, 87.900487277W
Name of waterway or watershed:	Root	t-Pike, Illinois, Wisconsin_
CHEE CONDITIONS		

## **SITE CONDITIONS:**

Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear	Unknown
								feet	
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands				X					
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
	-								
1 Check appropriate haves that best describe type of isolated non-navigable intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-									

\*Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup> :	If Known		If Unknown			
			Use Best Professional Judgment			
	Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected to	Not Able To Make	
			to Occur	Occur	Determination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by			X			
Migratory Bird Treaties?						
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?			X			
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?				v		
				Λ		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?				X		

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

## TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary	Or	Approved _	<b>X</b> .

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., discussion may include information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections - 1 to 3 paragraphs): A wetland delineation was received for review and concurrence for a 129-acre parcel consisting primarily of cropped lands. One wetland, approximately 8-acres in size was identified. A review of the a local topographic map, the Wisconsin Wetland Inventory, the Racine County Soil Survey, the USGS Sturtevant, Wisconsin quadrangle, and the information in the delineation report indicates that the wetland lacks a surface water connection to a water of the United States. The topographic maps suggest that the subject wetland could drain to the south east into a separate wetland complex. However, the topographic maps indicate that the second wetland complex drains to an isolated basin which lacks a connection to a water of the US. The maps of the surrounding area indicate that the subject wetland is not adjacent to a water of the US. A connection between the wetland and interstate commerce could not be established. Therefore, the 8-acre wetland is an isolated water body.

A site development plan was not included with the delineation report. However, the site is probably being considered for the development of a residential subdivision. Potential impacts to the 8-acre isolated wetland are not known.